| Ī  | Case 2:05-cv-00785-MAT Doo   | cument 1 | 19  | Filed 11/07/05  | Page 1 of 2 |
|----|--|----------|-----|-----------------|-------------|
|    |  |          |     |                 |             |
| 01 |  |          |     |                 |             |
| 02 |  |          |     |                 |             |
| 03 |  |          |     |                 |             |
| 04 |  |          |     |                 |             |
| 05 |  |          |     |                 |             |
| 06 | UNITED STAT  | res dis  | TRI | CT COURT        |             |
| 07 | WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE  |          |     |                 |             |
|    |  |          |     | E NO. COE 0705  | мат         |
| 08 | DAVID E. LINEAR, JR.,  | )        | AS. | E NO. C05-0785- | -WIA I      |
| 09 | Plaintiff,   | )        |     | ED #0 01101110  |             |
| 10 | V.   | )        | )KD | ER TO SHOW C    | CAUSE       |
| 11 | JO ANNE B. BARNHART, Commissioner of Social Security,  | r )<br>) |     |                 |             |
| 12 | Defendant.   | )        |     |                 |             |
| 13 |  | )        |     |                 |             |
| 14 | Based on stipulations initiated by defendant, the Court granted three extensions of time for           |          |     |                 |             |
| 15 | the filing of a responsive brief in this matter. (See Dkts. 14, 16 & 18.) In the last order granting   |          |     |                 |             |
| 16 | an extension, the Court gave defendant until Monday, October 31, 2005 to file a responsive brief.      |          |     |                 |             |
| 17 | (Dkt. 18.) The Court noted that, absent exceptional circumstances, no further extension would          |          |     |                 |             |
| 18 | be allowed. <i>Id</i> . To date, defendant has yet to submit a responsive brief, or any other document |          |     |                 |             |
| 19 | explaining their failure to timely submit a response. In fact, none of the three stipulations          |          |     |                 |             |
| 20 | submitted in this matter contain any reason, much less exceptional circumstances, explaining           |          |     |                 |             |
| 21 | defendant's inability to respond to plaintiff's opening brief in a timely manner.                      |          |     |                 |             |
| 22 | Accordingly, the Court hereby orders defendant to show cause within ten (10) days of the               |          |     |                 |             |
|    | ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE<br>PAGE -1   |          |     |                 |             |

## Case 2:05-cv-00785-MAT Document 19 Filed 11/07/05 Page 2 of 2

date of this order as to why plaintiff's request for an award of benefits should not be granted. 02 | Failure to respond to this order in a timely fashion will be construed as an admission that plaintiff's contentions have merit. DATED this 7th day of November, 2005.

United States Magistrate Judge

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE PAGE -2